UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,038	12/16/2005	Katsuhito Miura	2005_1996A	3768
513 7590 01/27/2010 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East			EXAMINER	
			LEWIS, BEN	
Washington, DC 20005-1503		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/561,038	MIURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ben Lewis	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Section</u>	entember 2009					
·=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in description with the process differ 2	parte dadyre, 1000 0.2. 11, 10	0.0.2.0.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 April 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
·—	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<del>_</del>	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Neterlandes Glied (PTO-032)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/561,038 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is response to interview on 10/23/2009. Claims 1-8 are pending. The Final Office Action mailed 9/29/09 is withdrawn. Applicant's arguments are persuasive. A new Final office action is issued below.

- 1. The Applicant's amendment filed on June 9<sup>th</sup>, 2009 was received.

  Claims 1-3 were amended.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S.C. code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action (issued on February 9<sup>th</sup>, 2009).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kohjiya et al.. (U.S. Patent No. 5,837,157) in view of Miura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,159,389).

With respect to claim 1, 3, 4, Kohjiya et al. disclose a polymer solid electrolyte (title) wherein the present invention provides a polymer solid electrolyte comprising a polyether copolymer having an oligooxyethylene side

Art Unit: 1795

chain and an electrolyte salt compound which is soluble in the polyether copolymer, the polyether polymer being a solid random copolymer having a main chain structure consisting of 5 to 30 molar % of a structural unit of the following formula (1) and 95 to 70 molar % of a structural unit of the following formula (2), and the polyether polymer having a polymerization degree n of an oxyethylene unit of the side chain part of the formula (1) of 1 to 12, a number-average molecular weight of 100,000 to 2,000,000, a glass transition point measured by a differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) of not more than -60.degree. C. and a heat of fusion of not more than 70 J/g (Col 1 lines 10-35). (Examiner notes that Kohjiya polymer composition comprises only two polymers of formula (1) and (2) which read on Applicants formula (i) and (ii).

Kohiya et al. teach tetrahydrofuran as a solvent (Col 4 lines 1-15).

Kohiya et al. does not specifically teach an additive as an optical ingerdient. However, Miura disclose a polyether copolymer wherein triethylene glycol dimethacrylate (additive) was added as a crosslinking agent (Col 14 lines 1-16). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the additive of Miura et al. into the polymer solid electrolyte of Kohjya et al. to improve polymer mechanical strength

With respect to claim 2, Miura et al. teach that as the ethylenically unsaturated group-containing oxirane compound there can be glycidyl acrylate and glycidyl methacrylate (Col 4 lines 55-67).

Art Unit: 1795

With respect to claims 5-8, Kohjiya et al. teach a battery comprising a positive and negative electrode and polymer solid electrolyte of (Col 4 lines 19-36).

5. Claim 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kohjiya et al.. (U.S. Patent No. 5,837,157) in view of Miura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,159,389).

With respect to claim2, Kohjiya et al. disclose a polymer solid electrolyte above. Kohjiya et al. do not specifically teach wherein the repeating units of formula (ii) are derived from glycidyl acrylate and glycidyl methacrylate.

In the crosslinking due to radiation of activated energy ray such as ultraviolet ray, glycidyl acrylate, glycidyl methacrylate and glycidyl cinnamate are particularly preferable among the monomer component represented by the formula (III-c) (Col 8 lines 35-40).

Therefore it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to use the glycidyl acrylate and glycidyl methacrylate of Miura et al. to make derive the compound of formula (1) of Kohjiya because a person of ordinary skill has good reason to pursue the known options within his or her technical grasp, in this case, to use the glycidyl acrylate and glycidyl methacrylate Miura et al., because these corsslinking agents are activated by using radiation. Ex Parte Smith, 83 USPQ.2d 1509, 1518-19 (BPAI, 2007) (citing KSR v. Teleflex, 127 S.Ct. 1727, 1740, 82 USPQ2d 1385, 1396 (2007)).

Application/Control Number: 10/561,038 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on June 9<sup>th</sup>, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's principal arguments are

(a). Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1795

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ben Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-6481. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ben Lewis/ Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795